Adams, Hope

From:
Bateman, Andrew
Sent:
Tuesday, March 16, 2021 2:04 PM
To:
Stark, David; Kate Lee Misson; Heather Smith; J. Ashley Cooper; willmiddleton@parkerpoe.com; Nelson, Jeff; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Hall, Roger; jwkuykendali@jwklegal.com; Ledford, Peter-energync; tspeer@urnerpadget.com; Pittman, Jenny; robsmith@mvalaw.com; Mustian, Ben; bdurant@sowelldurant.com; Rebecca J. Dulin
Cc:
PSC\_Contact
Subject:
RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: DEC/DEP Solar Choice Tariff Cases Procedural Matters (2020-264-EP)
Follow Up Flag:
Follow Up

Cc: PSC\_Contact < Contact@psc.sc.gov>

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: DEC/DEP Solar Choice Tariff Cases Procedural Matters (2020-264-E and 2020-265-E)

I want to be sure that I am clear on the proposed witness order – both for this party and others.

With the understanding the parties have agreed to present direct testimony separate from rebuttal testimony, I would like to clarify that SACE/CCL wants to present the Rebuttal of Finley in the Rebuttal Phase, Beach Direct Testimony in the Direct Phase, and Moore Surrebuttal in the Rebuttal Phase.

I have put together what I understand is the total proposed witness order (see attached).

Please confirm or correct this order if it is incorrect.

Regards, **David Stark**